arXiv:1606.08044v1 [math.PR] 26 Jun 2016

Functional central limit theorems for certain statistics in an infinite urn scheme

Mikhail Chebunin*, Artyom Kovalevskii †

Abstract

We investigate a specific infinite urn scheme first considered by Karlin (1967). We prove functional central limit theorems for the total number of urns with at least k balls for different k.

Keywords: infinite urn scheme, relative compactness, slow variation.

1 Introduction

Karlin (1967) studied an infinite urn scheme, that is, each of n balls goes to urn $i \geq 1$ with probability $p_i > 0$, $p_1 + p_2 + \ldots = 1$, independently of other balls. We assume $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \ldots$. Let X_j be the box that the ball j is thrown into, and

$$R^*_{n,k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}(\exists j_1 < \ldots < j_k \leq n : \ X_{j_1} = \ldots = X_{j_k} = i)$$

be the total number of urns with at least k balls. The number of nonempty urns is $R_n = R^*_{n,1}$. The total number of urns with exact k balls is $R_{n,k} = R^*_{n,k} - R^*_{n,k+1}$. Let $J_i(n)$ be the number of n balls in urn i.

Let (see Karlin (1967)) $\Pi = \{\Pi(t), \ t \geq 0\}$ be a Poisson process with parameter 1. This process does not depend on $\{X_j\}_{j\geq 1}$. The Poissonized version of Karlin model assume the total number of $\Pi(n)$ balls.

According to well-known thinning property of Poisson flows, stochastic processes $\{J_i(\Pi(t)) \stackrel{def}{=} \Pi_i(t), \ t \geq 0\}$ are Poisson with intensities p_i and are mutually independent for different i's. The definition implies that

$$R^*_{\Pi(n),k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}(\Pi_i(n) \geq k), \quad R_{\Pi(n),k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{I}(\Pi_i(n) = k).$$

Let $\alpha(x) = \max\{j|\ p_j \geq 1/x\}$ and we assume $\alpha(x) = x^\theta L(x)$, $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, as in Karlin (1967). Here $L(x)$ is a slowly varying function as $x \to \infty$. Let for $t \in [0,1]$, $k \geq 1$

$$Y^*_{n,k}(t) = \frac{R^*_{[nt],k} - \mathbf{E}R^*_{[nt],k}}{(\alpha(n))^{1/2}}, \qquad Z^*_{n,k}(t) = \frac{R^*_{\Pi(nt),k} - \mathbf{E}R^*_{\Pi(nt),k}}{(\alpha(n))^{1/2}},$$

*E-mail: chebuninmikhail@gmail.com, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

†E-mail: kovalevskiii@gmail.com, Novosibirsk State Technical University, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia

$$Y_{n,k}(t) = \frac{R_{[nt],k} - \mathbf{E}R_{[nt],k}}{(\alpha(n))^{1/2}}, \qquad K_{k,\theta} = \begin{cases} -\Gamma(1-\theta), & k=0; \\ \theta\Gamma(k-\theta), & k>0, \end{cases}$$

The goal of our paper is to extend the following two theorems from Karlin (1967).

Theorem 1 *(Theorem 4 in Karlin (1967)). Let $\theta \in (0,1]$. Then $(R_n - \mathbf{E}R_n)/B_n^{1/2}$ converges weakly to standard normal distribution, where*

$$B_n = \begin{cases} \Gamma(1-\theta)(2^\theta - 1)n^\theta L(n), & \theta \in (0,1); \\ n\int\limits_0^\infty \frac{e^{-1/y}}{y} L(ny)dy \stackrel{def}{=} nL^*(n), & \theta = 1. \end{cases}$$

Karlin (1967, Lemma 4) proved that the function $L^*(x)$ is slowly varying as $x \to \infty$.

Theorem 2 *(Theorem 5 in Karlin (1967)). Let $\theta \in (0,1)$, $r_1 < \ldots < r_\nu$ be ν positive integers. Then random vector $(Y_{n,r_1}(1), \ldots, Y_{n,r_\nu}(1))$ converges weakly to the multivariate normal distribution with zero expectation and covariances*

$$c_{r_i,r_j} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\theta\Gamma(r_i+r_j-\theta)}{r_i!r_j!}2^{\theta-r_i-r_j}, & i \neq j; \\ \frac{\theta}{\Gamma(r_i+1)}\left(\Gamma(r_i-\theta) - 2^{-2r_i+\theta}\frac{\Gamma(2r_i-\theta)}{\Gamma(r_i+1)}\right), & i = j. \end{cases}$$

Here we briefly mention some related results on this model. Dutko (1989) generalized Theorem 1 by proving asymptotic normality of R_n if $\mathbf{Var}R_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. This condition always holds if $\theta \in (0,1]$ but can hold too for $\theta = 0$. Gnedin, Hansen and Pitman (2007) focused on study of conditions for convergence $\mathbf{Var}R_n \to \infty$. Barbour and Gnedin (2009) extended Theorem 2 on the case of $\theta = 0$ if variances go to infinity. They found conditions for convergence of covariances to a limit and identified four types of limiting behavior of variances. Barbour (2009) proved theorems on approximation of the number of cells with k balls by translated Poisson distribution. Key (1992, 1996) studied the limit behavior of statistics $R_{n,1}$. Hwang and Janson (2008) proved local limit theorems for finite and infinite number of cells. Zakrevskaya and Kovalevskii (2001) proved consistency for one parametric family of an estimator of $\theta \in (0,1)$ which is an implicit function of R_n. Chebunin (2014) constructed an R_n-based explicit parameter estimator for $\theta \in (0,1)$ and proved its consistency. Durieu and Wang (2015) established a functional central limit theorem for a randomization of process R_n: each indicator is multiplied independently by a random variable taking values in ± 1 with equal probabilities. The limiting Gaussian process is a sum of independent self-similar processes in this case.

Now we formulate the main result of the paper.

Theorem 3 (i) *Let $\theta \in (0,1)$ and $\nu \geq 1$ be an integer. Then process $\left(Y^*_{n,1}(t), \ldots, Y^*_{n,\nu}(t),\ 0 \leq t \leq 1\right)$ converges weakly in the uniform metrics in $D([0,1]^\nu)$ to ν-dimensional Gaussian process with zero expectation and covariance function $(c^*_{ij}(\tau,t))_{i,j=1}^\nu$: for $\tau \leq t$, $i,j \in \{1,\ldots,\nu\}$ (taking $0^0 = 1$)*

$$c^*_{ij}(\tau,t) = \begin{cases} \sum\limits_{s=0}^{i-1}\sum\limits_{m=0}^{j-s-1}\frac{\tau^s(t-\tau)^m K_{m+s,\theta}}{t^{m+s-\theta}s!m!} - \sum\limits_{s=0}^{i-1}\sum\limits_{m=0}^{j-1}\frac{\tau^s t^m K_{m+s,\theta}}{(t+\tau)^{m+s-\theta}s!m!}, & i<j; \\ t^\theta\sum\limits_{m=0}^{j-1}\frac{K_{m,\theta}}{m!} - \sum\limits_{s=0}^{i-1}\sum\limits_{m=0}^{j-1}\frac{\tau^s t^m K_{m+s,\theta}}{(t+\tau)^{m+s-\theta}s!m!}, & i \geq j; \end{cases}$$

$c^*_{ij}(\tau,t) = c^*_{ji}(t,\tau)$.

(ii) *Let $\theta = 1$. Then process $\left(\frac{R_{[nt]} - \mathbf{E}R_{[nt]}}{(nL^*(n))^{1/2}},\ 0 \le t \le 1\right)$ converges weakly in the uniform metrics in $D(0,1)$ to a standard Wiener process.*

The limiting ν-dimensional Gaussian process is self-similar with Hurst parameter $H = \theta/2 < 1/2$. Its first component coincides in distribution with the first component of the limiting process in Theorem 1 in Durieu and Wang (2015). The above Karlin's theorems are partial cases of Theorem 3 due to $c_{ij} = c^*_{ij}(1,1) - c^*_{i+1,j}(1,1) - c^*_{i,j+1}(1,1) + c^*_{i+1,j+1}(1,1)$. In Section 2 we give a proof of Theorem 3.

2 Proof of Theorem 3

Lemma 1 **(i)** *If $\theta \in (0,1)$ then there exist $n_0 \ge 1$, $C(\theta) < \infty$ such that $\frac{\mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(n\delta)}}{\alpha(n)} \le C(\theta)\delta^{\theta/2}$ for any $\delta \in [0,1]$, $n \ge n_0$. If $\theta = 1$ then the same holds with $nL^*(n)$ instead of $\alpha(n)$.*
(ii) *Let $\tau \le t$, then $\mathbf{E}(R^*_{\Pi(t),k} - R^*_{\Pi(\tau),k}) \le \mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(t-\tau)}$, $k \ge 1$.*
(iii) *For any pair ε, $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exists $N = N(\varepsilon,\delta)$ such that for any $n \ge N$, $\mathbf{P}(\forall t \in [0,1]\ \ \exists \tau : |\tau - t| \le \delta,\ \Pi(n\tau) = [nt]) \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{P}(A(n)) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$.*

Proof. **(i)** Let $\theta \in (0,1)$. From Karamata representation (Theorem 2.1, Appendix 6, inequality (A6.2.10) in Borovkov (2013)) exists $C_1(\theta) > 0$ such that for all x and $\delta \in (0,1]$ under condition $x\delta \ge C_1(\theta)$ there is inequality $\frac{L(x\delta)}{L(x)} \le 2\delta^{-\theta/2}$. As $\lim\limits_{x\to\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(x)}}{\alpha(x)} = \Gamma(1-\theta)$ (Theorem 1 in Karlin (1967)), there exists $C_2(\theta) < \infty$ such that $\mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(x)} \le C_2(\theta)\alpha(x)$ as $x \ge x_0$ for some $x_0 > 1$.

Let $n\delta > \max\{C_1(\theta), x_0\}$, then $\frac{\mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(n\delta)}}{\alpha(n)} \le C_2(\theta)\frac{(n\delta)^\theta L(n\delta)}{n^\theta L(n)} \le 2C_2(\theta)\delta^{\theta/2}$.

If $n\delta \le \max\{C_1(\theta), x_0\}$ then $\frac{\mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(n\delta)}}{\alpha(n)} \le \frac{\mathbf{E}\Pi(n\delta)}{\alpha(n)} = \frac{n\delta}{n^\theta L(n)}$. Let n_0 such that for any $n \ge n_0$ we have $n^\theta L(n) \ge n^{\theta/2}$ then

$$\frac{n\delta}{n^\theta L(n)} \le \frac{n\delta}{n^{\theta/2}} = (n\delta)^{1-\theta/2}\delta^{\theta/2} \le (\max\{C_1(\theta), x_0\})^{1-\theta/2}\delta^{\theta/2}.$$

If $\theta = 1$ then we change $\alpha(n)$ to $nL^*(n)$, $L(n)$ to $L^*(n)$ and repeat the proof.

(ii) $\mathbf{E}(R^*_{\Pi(t),k} - R^*_{\Pi(\tau),k}) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty}\sum\limits_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{P}(\Pi_i(\tau) = j)\mathbf{P}(\Pi_i(t) - \Pi_i(\tau) \ge k - j)$
$\le \sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}(\Pi_i(t-\tau) \ge 1) = \mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(t-\tau)}$.

(iii) Let define $\Pi(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$. From monotonicity of Poisson process, it is enough to prove that for any pair ε, $\delta \in (0,1)$ there exists $N = N(\varepsilon,\delta)$ such that for any $n \ge N$, $\mathbf{P}(\forall t \in [0,1],\ \ \Pi(n(t-\delta)) \le [nt] \le \Pi(n(t+\delta))) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$.

From Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN), for any $\varepsilon,\ \delta \in (0,1)$ there exists $T_0 = T_0(\varepsilon,\delta)$ such that $\mathbf{P}\left(\forall T \ge T_0,\ \frac{\Pi(T)}{T} \in \left[1 - \frac{\delta}{4}, 1 + \frac{\delta}{4}\right]\right) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$.

Let $N = \frac{2}{\delta}\max(T_0, 2)$, then $n(t+\delta) \ge n\delta \ge 2T_0$. Then with probability not less then $1 - \varepsilon$ we have: for all $t \in [0,1]$

$$\Pi(n(t+\delta)) \ge n(t+\delta)\left(1 - \frac{\delta}{4}\right) > n\left(t + \frac{\delta}{2}\right) > [nt].$$

So, we need to prove only that $\mathbf{P}(\forall t \in [0,1],\ \ \Pi(n(t-\delta)) \le [nt]) \ge 1 - \varepsilon$.
If $t \in [0,\delta]$ then $\Pi(n(t-\delta)) = 0 \le [nt]$ a.s.

If $t \in [\delta, 1]$ then $\Pi(n(t-\delta)) \le \Pi(n(t-\frac{\delta}{2}))$ a.s., and $n\left(t-\frac{\delta}{2}\right) \ge \frac{n\delta}{2} \ge T_0$, and with probability not less then $1-\varepsilon$ we have: for all $t \in [\delta, 1]$

$$\Pi(n(t-\delta)) \le n\left(t-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)\left(1+\frac{\delta}{4}\right) \le nt - \frac{n\delta}{4} \le nt - 1 \le [nt].$$

Lemma 1 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of (i). Step 1 (covariances) Let $\tau \le t$,

$$\widetilde{c}_{ij}(\tau,t) = \mathbf{cov}(R^*_{\Pi(\tau),i}, R^*_{\Pi(t),j})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\Big(\mathbf{P}(\Pi_k(\tau) < i,\ \Pi_k(t) < j) - \mathbf{P}(\Pi_k(\tau) < i)\mathbf{P}(\Pi_k(t) < j)\Big).$$

If $i < j$ then

$$\widetilde{c}_{ij}(\tau,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sum_{s=0}^{i-1}\frac{(\tau p_k)^s}{s!}e^{-\tau p_k}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{j-s-1}\frac{((t-\tau)p_k)^m}{m!}e^{-(t-\tau)p_k} - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1}\frac{(tp_k)^m}{m!}e^{-tp_k}\right)$$

$$= \int_0^{\infty}\sum_{s=0}^{i-1}\frac{\tau^s x^{-s}}{s!}e^{-\tau/x}\left(\sum_{m=0}^{j-s-1}\frac{(t-\tau)^m x^{-m}}{m!}e^{-(t-\tau)/x} - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1}\frac{t^m x^{-m}}{m!}e^{-t/x}\right)d\alpha(x).$$

We integrate by parts and divide into two integrals, then we make change $y = x/t$ in the first integral, $y = x/(t+\tau)$ in the second one:

$$\widetilde{c}_{ij}(\tau,t) = \sum_{s=0}^{i-1}\sum_{m=0}^{j-s-1}\frac{\tau^s(t-\tau)^m t^{-m-s}}{s!m!}\int_0^{\infty}((m+s)y^{-m-s-1} - y^{-m-s-2})e^{-1/y}\alpha(ty)dy$$

$$-\sum_{s=0}^{i-1}\sum_{m=0}^{j-1}\frac{\tau^s t^m(t+\tau)^{-m-s}}{s!m!}\int_0^{\infty}((m+s)y^{-m-s-1} - y^{-m-s-2})e^{-1/y}\alpha((t+\tau)y)dy.$$

Analogously for $i \ge j$,

$$\widetilde{c}_{ij}(\tau,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{j-1}\left(\frac{1}{m!}\int_0^{\infty}(my^{-m-1} - y^{-m-2})e^{-1/y}\alpha(ty)dy\right.$$

$$\left.-\sum_{s=0}^{i-1}\frac{t^m\tau^s(t+\tau)^{-m-s}}{s!m!}\int_0^{\infty}((m+s)y^{-m-s-1} - y^{-m-s-2})e^{-1/y}\alpha((t+\tau)y)dy\right).$$

For any integer $r \ge 0$,

$$\int_0^{\infty} y^{-r-2}e^{-1/y}\alpha(ty)dy \sim \alpha(t)\int_0^{\infty} y^{\theta-r-2}e^{-1/y}dy = \alpha(t)\Gamma(r+1-\theta)$$

as $t \to \infty$. Note that $\int_0^{\infty}(ry^{\theta-r-1} - y^{\theta-r-2})e^{-1/y}dy = K_{r,\theta}$. So (because $\alpha(nt)/\alpha(n) \to t^{\theta}$ as $n \to \infty$), $c^*_{ij}(\tau,t) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\widetilde{c}_{ij}(n\tau,nt)/\alpha(n)$.

Step 2 (convergence of finite-dimensional distributions) Analogously to proof of Theorem 1 in Dutko (1989) we have for any fixed $m \ge 1$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \ldots < t_m \le 1$ triangle array of $m\nu$-dimensional random vectors $\Big\{(\mathbf{I}(\Pi_k(nt_j) \ge i) - \mathbf{P}(\Pi_k(nt_j) \ge i))\alpha^{-1/2}(n),\ i \le \nu,\ j \le m\Big), k \le n\}_{n\ge 1}$ satisfies Lindeberg condition (see Borovkov (2009), Theorem 8.6.2, p.215).

Step 3 (relative compactness) Let for any $\tau_1 \le \tau_2$,

$$R^*_{\Pi(\tau_2),k} - R^*_{\Pi(\tau_1),k} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{I}(\Pi_i(\tau_2) \ge k,\ \Pi_i(\tau_1) < k) \stackrel{def}{=} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{I}_i(\tau_1,\tau_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\mathbf{I}_i,$$

$P_i = P_i(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{I}_i)$. We will use designations $\mathbf{I}_i$ and corresponding P_i for different values of $\tau_1 < \tau_2$.

We need in a new process $Z^{**}_{n,k}(t) = \frac{R^*_{\Pi([nt]),k} - \mathbf{E}R^*_{\Pi([nt]),k}}{(\alpha(n))^{1/2}}$.

We (a) prove continuity of the limiting process; (b) prove that $Z^*_{n,k}$ and $Z^{**}_{n,k}$ are 'close'; (c) prove relative compactness of $Z^{**}_{n,k}$.

a) Let $\tau_1 = nt_1,\ \tau_2 = nt_2$ for $t_1 < t_2$, then

$$\mathbf{E}(Z^*_{n,k}(t_2) - Z^*_{n,k}(t_1))^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{I}_i - P_i)^2/\alpha(n) \le \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i/\alpha(n) \le C(\theta)(t_2 - t_1)^{\theta/2}.$$

Above we used the fact that variance of an indicator is lesser than its expectation and Lemma 1(i,ii). Using Step 1 and Theorem 1.4 in Adler (1990), we prove that the k-th component of the limiting Gaussian process is in $C(0,1)$ a.s. So the limiting Gaussian process is in $C([0,1]^\nu)$ a.s. weak convergence in Skorokhod topology implies the same in the uniform topology.

b) As $R^*_{\Pi(nt),k} - R^*_{\Pi([nt]),k} \le \Pi([nt]+1) - \Pi([nt])$ a.s., and $\mathbf{E}(\Pi([nt]+1) - \Pi([nt])) = 1$ we have for any $\eta > 0$

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_{0\le t\le 1} |Z^*_{n,k}(t) - Z^{**}_{n,k}(t)| > \eta) \le \mathbf{P}(\sup_{0\le m\le n} (\Pi(m+1) - \Pi(m) + 1) > \eta\sqrt{\alpha(n)})$$

$$\le \sum_{m=0}^{n} \mathbf{E}e^{\Pi(m+1)-\Pi(m)+1}/e^{\eta\sqrt{\alpha(n)}} = (n+1)e^{e-\eta\sqrt{\alpha(n)}} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$. So it is enough to prove relative compactness of $\{Z^{**}_{n,k}\}_{n\ge n_0}$.

c) Let $t_2 - t_1 \ge \frac{1}{2n}$, then $[nt_2] - [nt_1] \le n(t_2 - t_1) + 1 \le 3n(t_2 - t_1)$. Let $\gamma = [16/\theta] + 1$, and $\tau_1 = [nt_1],\ \tau_2 = [nt_2]$. Using independence of terms and Rosenthal inequality, we have for all $n \ge n_0$ (where n_0 is from Lemma 1 (i))

$$\mathbf{E}|Z^{**}_{n,k}(t_2) - Z^{**}_{n,k}(t_1)|^\gamma \le \frac{c(\gamma)}{(\alpha(n))^{\gamma/2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}|\mathbf{I}_i - P_i|^\gamma + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{I}_i - P_i)^2 \right)^{\gamma/2} \right)$$

$$\le \frac{c(\gamma)}{(\alpha(n))^{\gamma/2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i \right)^{\gamma/2} \right)$$

$$\le \frac{c(\gamma)}{(\alpha(n))^{\gamma/2}} \left(24n^4(t_2 - t_1)^4 + (\mathbf{E}R_{\Pi(2n(t_2-t_1))})^{\gamma/2} \right) \le \widetilde{C}(\theta)(t_2 - t_1)^4.$$

Here $c(\gamma)$ and $\widetilde{C}(\theta)$ depend on its argument only. Above we used the fact that variance of an indicator is lesser than its expectation, inequality $\sum_i P_i \le \mathbf{E}(\Pi([nt_2]) - \Pi([nt_1])) \le 3n(t_2 - t_1) \le 24n^4(t_2 - t_1)^4$, and Lemma 1(i,ii).

Let $0 \le t_2 - t_1 < 1/n$, then $[nt_1] = [nt]$ or $[nt_2] = [nt]$ for any $t \in [t_1, t_2]$. So $Q \stackrel{def}{=} \mathbf{E}(|Z^{**}_{n,k}(t) - Z^{**}_{n,k}(t_1)|^{\gamma/2} |Z^{**}_{n,k}(t_2) - Z^{**}_{n,k}(t)|^{\gamma/2}) = 0 \le (t_2 - t_1)^2$.

Let $t_2 - t_1 \ge 1/n$, then there are 3 possible cases:

1) $t_2 - t \ge \frac{1}{2n}$, $t - t_1 \ge \frac{1}{2n}$, then from Cauchy-Bunyakovsky Inequality, $Q \le \widetilde{C}(\theta)(t_2 - t)^2(t - t_1)^2 \le \widetilde{C}(\theta)(t_2 - t_1)^2$;

2) $t_2 - t \ge \frac{1}{2n}$, $t - t_1 < \frac{1}{2n}$, then from Cauchy-Bunyakovsky Inequality,

$$Q \le \sqrt{\widetilde{C}(\theta)(t_2 - t)^4 \mathbf{E} \left(\frac{\Pi(1) + 1}{\sqrt{\alpha(n)}} \right)^\gamma} \le \widehat{C}(\theta)(t_2 - t_1)^2;$$

3) $t_2 - t < \frac{1}{2n}$, $t - t_1 \ge \frac{1}{2n}$, symmetric to case 2.

So we have (see Billingsley (1999), Theorem 13.5) density of k-th component and therefore density of all the vector.

Step 4 (approximation of the original process) From the relative compactness of distributions of processes $\{Z^*_{n,k}\}_{n\geq n_0,k\geq 1}$ we get that for every pair $\varepsilon > 0$, $\eta > 0$ there exist $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $N_1 = N_1(\varepsilon,\eta)$ such that for all $n \geq N_1$

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_{|t-\tau|\leq\delta} \left|Z^*_{n,k}(\tau) - Z^*_{n,k}(t)\right| \geq \eta) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then (as $\mathbf{P}(Y^*_{n,k}(t) = Z^*_{n,k}(\tau)|\Pi(n\tau) = [nt]) = 1$) we have for all $n \geq \max(N, N_1)$, where N is from Lemma 1 (iii),

$$\mathbf{P}(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \left|Y^*_{n,k}(t) - Z^*_{n,k}(t)\right| \geq \eta) \leq \mathbf{P}(\sup_{0\leq t\leq 1} \left|Y^*_{n,k}(t) - Z^*_{n,k}(t)\right| \geq \eta, A(n)) + \varepsilon$$

$$\leq \mathbf{P}(\sup_{|t-\tau|\leq\delta} \left|Z^*_{n,k}(\tau) - Z^*_{n,k}(t)\right| \geq \eta) + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

So (i) is proved.

Proof of (ii) Analogously to **Step 1** for $\tau < t$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mathbf{cov}(R_{\Pi(n\tau)}, R_{\Pi(nt)})}{nL^*(n)} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{nL^*(n)} \int_0^\infty e^{-nt/x}(1 - e^{-n\tau/x}) d\alpha(x) = \tau.$$

Doing precisely the same as at **Step 2**–**Step 4** (using slow variation of $L^*(x)$) we prove (ii).

The Theorem is proved.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Serguei Foss and an anonymous referee for their helpful and constructive comments and suggestions.

Adler, R.J., 1990. An introduction to continuity, extrema, and related topics for general Gaussian processes, Institute of Math. Stat., Hayward, California.

Barbour, A. D., 2009. Univariate approximations in the infinite occupancy scheme. Alea 6, 415–433.

Barbour, A. D., Gnedin, A. V., 2009. Small counts in the infinite occupancy scheme. Electronic Journal of Probability, Vol. 14, Paper no. 13, 365–384.

Billingsley, P., 1999. Convergence of Probability Measures, 2nd Edition, Wiley.

Borovkov, A. A., 2013. Probability Theory, Universitext.

Chebunin, M. G., 2014. Estimation of parameters of probabilistic models which is based on the number of different elements in a sample. Sib. Zh. Ind. Mat., 17:3, 135–147.

Durieu and Wang, 2015. From infinite urn schemes to decompositions of self-similar Gaussian processes. Preprint.

Dutko, M., 1989. Central limit theorems for infinite urn models, Ann. Probab. 17, 1255–1263.

Gnedin, A., Hansen, B., Pitman, J., 2007. Notes on the occupancy problem with infinitely many boxes: general asymptotics and power laws. Probability Surveys, Vol. 4, 146–171.

Hwang, H.-K., Janson, S., 2008. Local Limit Theorems for Finite and Infinite Urn Models. The Annals of Probability, Vol. 36, No. 3, 992–1022.

Karlin, S., 1967. Central Limit Theorems for Certain Infinite Urn Schemes. Jounal of Mathematics and Mechanics, Vol. 17, No. 4, 373–401.

Key, E. S., 1992. Rare Numbers. Journal of Theoretical Probability, Vol. 5, No. 2, 375–389.

Key, E. S., 1996. Divergence rates for the number of rare numbers. Journal of Theoretical Probability, Volume 9, No. 2, 413–428.

Zakrevskaya, N. S., Kovalevskii, A. P., 2001. One-parameter probabilistic models of text statistics. Sib. Zh. Ind. Mat., 4:2, 142–153.